

Technical Evaluation Criteria

SCORE	PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION
5	100	COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Criteria Weighting (%)
1.	<p>Method statement for executing the scope of work (including number of people, equipment, etc..)</p> <p>Scoring Criteria:</p> <p>0 = No method statement submitted or not relevant to the scope of work.</p> <p>2 = Method statement provided covers 50% of the scope of work.</p>	40

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Criteria Weighting (%)																
	4 = Method statement provided covers 80% of the scope of work. 5 = Method statement provided covers 100% of the scope of work.																	
2.	<p>Proof of 3 years verifiable experience (within the last 5 years) that the supplier has executed the same or similar type of work. Proof can be a previous purchase order with details of the company supplied – including name and contact number of reference person, preferably in power generation or similar industry. Three (3) references are required, in a tabular format as shown below.</p> <p>Scoring Criteria:</p> <p>0 = References not provided/not relevant or poor reviews of the services provided to the references</p> <p>2 = Proof of less than 3 years’ experience.</p> <p>4 = Proof of 3 years’ experience.</p> <p>5 = Proof of more than 3 years’ experience or more.</p> <table><tr><th>Company name</th><th>Scope of work executed</th><th>Order/Project/Contract start and end date</th><th>Name, designation and contact number of reference person</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	Company name	Scope of work executed	Order/Project/Contract start and end date	Name, designation and contact number of reference person													60
Company name	Scope of work executed	Order/Project/Contract start and end date	Name, designation and contact number of reference person															

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Criteria Weighting (%)
		TOTAL: 100

Supplier must get a minimum of 70% to move on to the next stage of evaluations.